Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 33

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re:	BKY No. 09-40290 ADV No. 11
Scott Lawrence Swanson and Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson,	
Debtors.	
Randall L. Seaver, Trustee,	
Plaintiff,	
VS.	COMPLAINT
Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson,	
Defendant.	

Randall L. Seaver, Trustee ("Trustee") of the estate of Scott Lawrence Swanson and Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson ("Estate") as and for his Complaint against the debtor Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson ("Defendant" or "Debtor"), states and alleges as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff is the duly appointed Chapter 7 Trustee of the bankruptcy estate of the Debtor.
- 2. This bankruptcy case was commenced on January 19, 2009 by the filing of a voluntary Chapter 7 petition.
- 3. This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §§157(b)(2) and 1334. This proceeding arises under 11 U.S.C. §§ 541, 727, Minnesota Statutes §604.14 and common law.
- 4. The Debtors filed their Chapter 7 Petition on January 19, 2009 with incomplete schedules. On February 3, 2009 the Debtors filed completed schedules. A copy of the Debtors' Schedules B and C are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

5. On April 23, 2009 an Order was entered discharging the Debtors' debts.

#### **Defendant's Compensation**

- 6. Defendant Michelle Klein-Swanson was an employee of International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM") for all dates relevant hereto.
- 7. As an employee of IBM, the Defendant was compensated through a "base plus commissions" structure. See attached Exhibit B at page 6. From January of 2008 to the present day, the Defendant has been eligible to receive a quarterly bonus called an excellence award (hereinafter "Excellence Award"), and an annual bonus called a growth driven profit payment (hereinafter "GDP Bonus"). For purposes of calculating these bonuses IBM uses a calendar year running from January 1 to December 31. Exhibit B, at page 10.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the 2004 examination transcript of IBM Client Unit Executive Judie Ivancovich.
- 9. At the end of IBM's fiscal year 2008, the Defendant became entitled to receive both an Excellence Award and GDP Bonus. The Excellence Awards are typically paid 2 months in arrears, and the GDP Bonuses are paid shortly after that. Exhibit B, at page 9.
- 10. The Defendant received an Excellence Award for each of the four quarters of 2008. On February 28, 2009 the Debtor received an \$8,000.00 payment from IBM as her Excellence Award for the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2008.
- 11. On March 15, 2009 the Defendant received a \$16,072.00 payment from IBM as her GDP Bonus for the fiscal year of 2008.
- 12. Neither payment described above was listed as an asset or potential asset in the Defendant's bankruptcy schedules.

#### **Defendant's Concealment of Estate Assets**

13. On March 10, 2010 the Trustee sent a letter to the Defendant's attorney, specifically requesting information about the \$8,000 Excellence Award paid to Defendant in February of 2009, and for an explanation of the time period for which the payment covered. A copy of the Trustee's correspondence is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

- 14. On May 25, 2009 the Defendant responded to the Trustee's March 10, 2009 correspondence. A copy of the Defendant's response is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
  - 15. The Defendant's letter began with the following statement:

"Let me begin by explaining that my position at IBM as the Oracle Alliance Client Executive is NOT a commissionable/incentive based position I am 100% salary based and I am not customer facing."

#### See Exhibit D.

This statement is untrue and intentionally misleading. The Defendant's supervisor was questioned regarding Defendant's compensation structure and testified the following under oath:

- Q: Are you familiar with Ms. Klein-Swanson's compensation package?
- A: Yes.
- Q: And how is that structured?
- A: Well, it depends on which year you want to talk about.
- Q: How about starting right now, how about right now and then we can move backwards.
- A: Okay. We're on a salary plus bonus compensation plan.
- O: And was that the same structure for 2010?
- A: Yes.
- Q: How about 2009?
- A: Each year is the same, it's base plus commission, but how the commission is structured and how bonuses that we are eligible for can change depending upon the year. So since she's been employed or since she's worked for me, she has been on a base plus commission plan.
- Q: Okay. So it would be inaccurate to say that she's straight salary?
- A: That's correct.

#### Exhibit B, at pages 5-6.

16. The Defendant's May 25, 2009 letter proceeds to provide the Trustee with a response to his inquiry regarding the time period for which the \$8,000 payment was made:

"In early February 2009 I successfully coordinated a team of technologist and consultants to develop a migration plan/methodology for JDE legacy clients. This solution will be rolled out to the IBM sales teams in early July 2009. The Excellence Award was announced to me on Monday – 2/16/09 on my America's Alliance cadence call with my Manager."

#### Exhibit D.

Once again the Defendant's statement is misleading. The Debtor in fact became eligible to receive the \$8,000 Excellence Award as of December 31, 2008.

- Q: Okay. If we can move on to the next page, referencing the fourth quarter, what was Mrs. Klein-Swanson's excellence award for the fourth quarter of 2008?
- A: The \$8,000 amount.
- Q: And just to verify, was the \$8,000 excellence award compensation for services performed by Michelle Klein-Swanson in 2008?
- A: She would not have received fourth quarter payment in the fiscal year of '08, she would have received it in '09.
- Q: Yeah, but going back to my question, the \$8,000, is that payment compensation for services provided by Michelle Swanson in 2008?
- A: Yes.
- Q: Okay. So it's not attributable to any work that she would have performed in 2009, correct?
- A: No, correct.

#### Exhibit B.

- 17. Unknown to the Trustee at the time of his March 10, 2009 letter was the fact that the Debtor was eligible to, and did receive, a GDP Bonus for work performed in 2008. The GDP Bonus was paid to the Defendant on March 15, 2010 and was in the amount of \$16,072.00.
  - 18. The \$16,072 GDP Bonus was also attributable to services performed pre-peition:
    - Q: Okay. But in regards to the onetime annual payment that they receive, what was Michelle Klein-Swanson's onetime annual bonus in 2008 for 2008?
    - A: Her payment for 2008? Oh, it's right here. I think it says 16,072.
    - Q: Okay. So then the 16,072 received as her 2008 GDP annual bonus was compensation for services performed by Michelle Klein-Swanson in 2008?
    - A: Correct.
    - Q: So that \$16,072 payment is not attributable to any work performed in 2009?
    - A: The correct.

#### Exhibit B at pages 17-18.

19. Although the Defendant's May 25, 2009 letter was sent to the Trustee after she had received her 2008 GDP Bonus of \$16,072, no mention of the payment was included in the Defendant's correspondence.

- 20. Defendant was fully aware of her eligibility and likelihood of receiving both her quarterly Excellence Award and GDP Bonus.
  - Q: Maybe I can clarify it or simplify it. For purposes of Michelle Klein-Swanson, as long as she's been working underneath you, have these programs been in place?
  - A: Yes.
  - Q: The GDP propgrams?
  - A: Yes.
  - Q: And when she started working for you, was she notified of these programs?
  - A: Yes.
  - Q: So Michelle Klein-Swanson was aware, from the time she started working for you in October of 2007, that she was eligible to receive a quarterly excellence award?
  - A: Yes.
  - Q: And then, also, she was aware that she was eligible to receive an annual growth driven profit payment?
  - A: Yes.

Exhbit B, at Page 21.

## **COUNT 1** Conversion

- 21. Plaintiff restates, realleges, and adopts by reference the allegations herein contained in paragraphs 1-20.
- 22. The \$8,000 Excellence Award and \$16,072 GDP Bonus are attributable to work performed prior to the Debtor's filing and are accordingly property of the estate pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §541.
- 23. Defendant has willfully interfered with the Plaintiff's possession and ownership of the \$8,000 Excellence Award and \$16,072 GDP Bonus.
- 24. The Defendant has spent or wasted the \$8,000 Excellence Award and \$16,072 GDP Bonus.
  - 25. The Trustee seeks Judgment against the Defendant in the amount of \$24,072.

#### <u>COUNT II</u> Civil Theft under Minn. Stat. §604.14

- 26. Plaintiff restates, realleges, and adopts by reference the allegations herein contained in paragraphs 1-25.
- 27. The \$8,000 Excellence Award and \$16,072 GDP Bonus are property whose physical location can be changed.
- 28. The Debtor's bankruptcy estate was the owner of the \$8,000 Excellence Award and \$16,072 GDP Bonus at the time of their conversion.
- 29. Defendant Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson intentionally and without claim or right concealed and retained possession of the \$8,000 Excellence Award and \$16,072 GDP Bonus without the Trustee's knowledge or consent, with the intent to deprive the Trustee permanently of possession thereof.
- 30. The intentional retention and concealment of the \$8,000 Excellence Award and \$16,072 GDP Bonus constitutes theft under Minnesota law.
- 31. Defendant Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson is liable to the Trustee under Minn. Stat. §604.14.
- 32. Plaintiff seeks a money judgment against Defendant Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson in the amount of \$48,144 arising from civil theft damages under Minn. Stat. §604.14.

# COUNT III Theft and Treble Damages under Minn. Stat. § 548.05

- 33. Plaintiff restates, realleges, and adopts by reference the allegations herein contained in paragraphs 1-32.
- 34. Defendant has committed a theft of bankruptcy estate property as detailed in Paragraphs 1-20 of this Complaint.
  - 35. The Plaintiff may recover treble damages pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 548.05.
  - 36. The Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment for damages, in an amount of \$72,216.00

# **COUNT IV Avoidable Post Petition Transfer Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 549**

- 37. Plaintiff restates, realleges, and adopts by reference the allegations herein contained in paragraphs 1-36.
- 38. The Defendant came into possession of Estate assets post-petition and spent or wasted the assets with no claim of right.
- 39. The Defendant is the party which has benefited from the post-petition transfer of Estate funds in the amount of \$24,072 and the Trustee is entitled to recover that amount from the Defendant as an unauthorized transfer pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 549, and 550.

# COUNT V Revocation of Discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 727(d)(2)

- 40. Plaintiff restates, realleges, and adopts by reference the allegations herein contained in paragraphs 1-39.
- 41. The Trustee's request for a revocation of discharge is timely pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §727(e)(2)(B) as this case has not been closed.
- 42. As described in Paragraphs 6-20 above, the Defendant had knowledge of her right to acquire an asset of the estate, acquired the estate asset post-petition, and knowingly and fraudulently failed to report the acquisition or her entitlement to such property to the Trustee.
- 43. Even when specifically questioned about the \$8,000 Excellence Award the Defendant provided the Trustee with false and misleading explanations to perpetuate her theft of the assets.
- 44. Plaintiff seeks revocation of the Defendant's discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 727(d)(2).

WHEREFORE, the Trustee requests judgment of the court as follows:

1. Entering judgment against the Defendant Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson pursuant to Count I in the amount of \$24,072.

Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 33

- 2. Entering a money judgment against Defendant Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson pursuant to Count II in the amount of \$48,144 pursuant to Minn. Stat. §604.14.
- 3. Entering a money judgment against Defendant Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson pursuant to Count III in the amount of \$72,216.00.
- 4. Entering a money judgment against Defendant Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson pursuant to Count IV in the amount of \$24,072.
  - 5. Entering an order revoking the Debtor's discharge.
  - 6. Awarding the Plaintiff his costs and disbursements in this action.
  - 7. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and equitable.

#### FULLER, SEAVER & RAMETTE, P.A.

Dated: \_February 18, 2011 By:\_\_/e/ Matthew D. Swanson

Matthew D. Swanson 390271 12400 Portland Avenue South, Suite 132 Burnsville, MN 55337 (952) 890-0888

Attorneys for Plaintiff

B6B (Official Form 6B) (12/07)

In re	Scott Lawrence Swanson,
	Michelle Ann Klein-Swansor

Case No	09-40290

#### **Debtors**

#### **SCHEDULE B - PERSONAL PROPERTY**

Except as directed below, list all personal property of the debtor of whatever kind. If the debtor has no property in one or more of the categories, place an "x" in the appropriate position in the column labeled "None." If additional space is needed in any category, attach a separate sheet properly identified with the case name, case number, and the number of the category. If the debtor is married, state whether husband, wife, both, or the marital community own the property by placing an "H," "W," "J," or "C" in the column labeled "Husband, Wife, Joint, or Community." If the debtor is an individual or a joint petition is filed, state the amount of any exemptions claimed only in Schedule C - Property Claimed as Exempt.

Do not list interests in executory contracts and unexpired leases on this schedule. List them in Schedule G - Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases.

If the property is being held for the debtor by someone else, state that person's name and address under "Description and Location of Property." If the property is being held for a minor child, simply state the child's initials and the name and address of the child's parent or guardian, such as "A.B., a minor child, by John Doe, guardian." Do not disclose the child's name. See, 11 U.S.C. §112 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1007(m).

Type of Property	N O N E	Description and Location of Property	Husband, Wife, Joint, or Community	Current Value of Debtor's Interest in Property, without Deducting any Secured Claim or Exemption
1. Cash on hand	Х			
2. Checking, savings or other financial		Checking account- Think Federal Credit Union	J	1,242.00
accounts, certificates of deposit, or shares in banks, savings and loan,		Checking account- M&I Bank	J	3,455.00
thriff, building and loan, and homestead associations, or credit unions, brokerage houses, or		Checking account- Wings Financial Credit Union	н	900.00
cooperatives.		Checking account- Associated Bank	J	50.00
		Savings account- Wings Financial Credit Union	J	50.00
•		Savings account- Think Federal Credit Union	J	0.00
<ol> <li>Security deposits with public utilities, telephone companies, landlords, and others.</li> </ol>	X			
<ol> <li>Household goods and furnishings, including audio, video, and computer equipment.</li> </ol>		Household goods	J	5,600.00
<ol> <li>Books, pictures and other art objects, antiques, stamp, coin, record, tape, compact disc, and other collections or collectibles.</li> </ol>	X			
6. Wearing apparel.		Personal clothing	J	700.00
7. Furs and jewelry.		Jewelry, including wedding bands	w	1,350.00
		Jewelry, including wedding band and Rolex watch	н	1,375.00
		(2) fur coats	w	325.00
8. Firearms and sports, photographic,		Camera	J	300.00
and other hobby equipment.		(3) sewing machines	W	200.00

4 continuation sheets attached to the Schedule of Personal Property



Sub-Total >

(Total of this page)

15,547.00

Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Document Page 10 of 33

B6B (Official Form 6B) (12/07) - Cont.

In re Scott Lawrence Swanson, Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson

Coce No	09-40290	
Case IVU.	U3~4U23U	

#### Debtors

## SCHEDULE B - PERSONAL PROPERTY (Continuation Sheet)

			(Continuation Sheet)		
	Type of Property	N O N E	Description and Location of Property	Husband, Wife, Joint, or Community	Current Value of Debtor's Interest in Property, without Deducting any Secured Claim or Exemption
9.	Interests in insurance policies. Name insurance company of each policy and itemize surrender or refund value of each.		Cash value of (1) life insurance policy	W	416.00
10.	Annuities. Itemize and name each issuer.	X			
11.	Interests in an education IRA as defined in 26 U.S.C. § 530(b)(1) or under a qualified State tuition plan as defined in 26 U.S.C. § 529(b)(1). Give particulars. (File separately the record(s) of any such interest(s). 11 U.S.C. § 521(c).)	X			,
12.	Interests in IRA, ERISA, Keogh, or other pension or profit sharing plans. Give particulars.		Northwest Airlines Corp. 401(K) retirement plan, ERISA-qualified, not part of the bankruptcy estateestimate value	н	273,794.00
			Individual Retirement Account (Charles Schwab)	н	328.00
			IBM Corporation 401(K) retirement plan, ERISA-qualified, not part of the bankruptcy estate estimated value	W	151,762.00
13.	Stock and interests in incorporated and unincorporated businesses. Itemize.		100% stock ownership of Yarn, Inc. Business has terminated operation and no accounts receivable remain. Value listed is for residual inventory, office equipment and supples. all of which may be subjec to a security agreement in favor of Drake Bank.	J t	2,050.00
			Stock held in IBM Corporation	w	1,264.00
			100% stock ownership of Moon Cake, Inc. Business has terminated operation and no assets or accounts receivable remain.	J	0.00
14.	Interests in partnerships or joint ventures. Itemize.	X			
15.	Government and corporate bonds and other negotiable and nonnegotiable instruments.	X			
16.	Accounts receivable.	X			
				Sub-Tot	al > 429.614.00

(Total of this page)

Sheet 1 of 4 continuation sheets attached to the Schedule of Personal Property

Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Document Page 11 of 33

B6B (Official Form 6B) (12/07) - Cont.

In re Scott Lawrence Swanson, Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson

Case No.	09-40290	
	77 1777	

#### Debtors

## **SCHEDULE B - PERSONAL PROPERTY**

	Type of Property	N O Description and Location of Proper E	Husband Wife, Joint, or Communi	Debtor's Interest in Property without Deducting any
17.	Alimony, maintenance, support, and property settlements to which the debtor is or may be entitled. Give particulars.	х		
8.	Other liquidated debts owed to debtor including tax refunds. Give particulars.	x		
9.	Equitable or future interests, life estates, and rights or powers exercisable for the benefit of the debtor other than those listed in Schedule A - Real Property.	x		
0.	Contingent and noncontingent interests in estate of a decedent, death benefit plan, life insurance policy, or trust.	x		
1.	Other contingent and unliquidated claims of every nature, including tax refunds, counterclaims of the debtor, and rights to setoff claims. Give estimated value of each.	X		
22.	Patents, copyrights, and other intellectual property. Give particulars.	X		
23.	Licenses, franchises, and other general intangibles. Give particulars.	X		
<u>!</u> 4.	Customer lists or other compilations containing personally identifiable information (as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(41A)) provided to the debtor by individuals in connection with obtaining a product or service from the debtor primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.	X		
25.	Automobiles, trucks, trailers, and	2008 Toyota FJ Cruiser automobile (21,000	miles) J	18,290.00
-	other vehicles and accessories.	2002 Volkswagen Beetle (58,000 miles)	J	6,655.00
		Utility trailer	J	500.00
26.	Boats, motors, and accessories.	Sea Ray boat, motor and trailer	J	4,500.00
			Sub-7 (Total of this pag	Total > 29,945.00

Sheet 2 of 4 continuation sheets attached to the Schedule of Personal Property

Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 33

B6B (Official Form 6B) (12/07) - Cont.

In re	Scott Lawrence Swanson,
	Michelle Ann Klein-Swanso

Case No.	09-40290	

#### Debtors

#### **SCHEDULE B - PERSONAL PROPERTY**

(Continuation Sheet)

	Type of Property	N O Description and Location of Property E	Husband, Wife, Joint, or Community	Current Value of Debtor's Interest in Property without Deducting any Secured Claim or Exemption
		Kayak and row boat	J	250.00
27.	Aircraft and accessories.	x		
28.	Office equipment, furnishings, and supplies.	X		
29.	Machinery, fixtures, equipment, and supplies used in business.	x		
30.	Inventory.	x		
31.	Animals.	x		
32.	Crops - growing or harvested. Give particulars.	x		
33.	Farming equipment and implements.	X		
34.	Farm supplies, chemicals, and feed.	X		
35.	Other personal property of any kind not already listed. Itemize.	Guitar	W	350.00
	not already listed. Itemize.	Piano	w	500.00
		Hand tools	J	300.00
		Riding lawn mower	J	150.00
		Laptop computer	w	150.00
		Kiteboard	J	525.00
		Holiday decorations	J	200.00
		2008 federal and state tax refunds	w	Unknown
		Wages earned but unpaid as of filing date, netestimated	н	3,000.00
		Wages earned but unpaid as of filing date, netestimated	w	3,200.00
		Snowblower	J	75.00
		Notes payable from Yarn, Inc. to debtors for owners' contributions in the amount of \$848,999.00	J	0.00
		/T-4-1	Sub-Tota of this page)	al > 8,700.00

Sheet 3 of 4 continuation sheets attached to the Schedule of Personal Property

Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 33

B6B (Official Form 6B) (12/07) - Cont.

In re	Scott Lawrence Swanson, Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson	Case No. <u>09-40290</u>
_	SCHEDULE B - PERS (Continuation)	

Type of Property

N
Description and Location of Property
N
Description and Location of Property
E

Husband,
Wife,
Joint, or
Community
Without Deducting any
Community
Secured Claim or Exemption

Notes payable from Moon Cake, Inc. to debtors for owners' contributions in the amount of \$55,00.0

0.00

Sub-Total >

(Total of this page)
Total >

483,806.00

0.00

Sheet 4 of 4 continuation sheets attached to the Schedule of Personal Property

(Report also on Summary of Schedules)

Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 33

B6C (Official Form 6C) (12/07)

In re

Scott Lawrence Swanson, Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson

Case 140	Case No	09-40290
----------	---------	----------

Debtors

#### SCHEDULE C - PROPERTY CLAIMED AS EXEMPT

Debtor claims the exemptions to which debtor is entitled under: (Check one box)

■ 11 U.S.C. §522(b)(2)
□ 11 U.S.C. §522(b)(3)

☐ Check if debtor claims a homestead exemption that exceeds \$136,875.

Description of Property	Specify Law Providing Each Exemption	Value of Claimed Exemption	Current Value of Property Without Deducting Exemption
Real Property Homestead located at 16900 Weaver Lake Drive, Maple Grove, MN, legally described as follows: Torrens Cert. #823460, Tract (Lot) G, Registered Land Survey No. 1288, according to the plat thereof on file and record in the office of the Registrar of Titles, in and for Hennepin County, Minnesota.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(1)	5,000.00	385,000.00
Checking, Savings, or Other Financial Accounts, of Checking account-Think Federal Credit Union	Certificates of Deposit 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	1,242.00	1,242.00
-		•	
Checking account- M&I Bank	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	3,455.00	3,455.00
Checking account-Wings Financial Credit Union	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	900.00	900.00
Checking account- Associated Bank	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	50.00	50.00
Savings account-Wings Financial Credit Union	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	50.00	50.00
Savings account- Think Federal Credit Union	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	0.00	0.00
Household Goods and Furnishings Household goods	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(3)	5,600.00	5,600.00
Wearing Apparel Personal clothing	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(3)	700.00	700.00
<u>Furs and Jewelry</u> Jewelry, including wedding bands	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(4)	1,350.00	1,350.00
Jewelry, including wedding band and Rolex watch	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(4) 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	1,350.00 25.00	1,375.00
(2) fur coats	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	325.00	325.00
Firearms and Sports, Photographic and Other Ho	bby <u>Equipment</u> 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	300.00	300.00
(3) sewing machines	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	200.00	200.00
Interests in Insurance Policies Cash value of (1) life insurance policy	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(8)	416.00	416.00
Interests in IRA, ERISA, Keogh, or Other Pension Individual Retirement Account (Charles Schwab)	or Profit Sharing Plans 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(12)	328.00	328.00

<sup>1</sup> continuation sheets attached to Schedule of Property Claimed as Exempt Copyright (c) 1996-2008 - Best Case Solutions - Evanston, IL - (800) 492-8037

Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 33

B6C (Official Form 6C) (12/07) -- Cont.

In re

Scott Lawrence Swanson, Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson

Cana	NIa	00 4000	١٨
Case	NO.	09-4029	JU

Debtors

### SCHEDULE C - PROPERTY CLAIMED AS EXEMPT

(Continuation Sheet)

Description of Property	Specify Law Providing Each Exemption	Value of Claimed Exemption	Current Value of Property Without Deducting Exemption
Stock and Interests in Businesses Stock held in IBM Corporation	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	1,264.00	1,264.00
<u>Automobiles, Trucks, Trailers, and Other Vehicles</u> 2008 Toyota FJ Cruiser automobile (21,000 miles)	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(2)	1.00	18,290.00
2002 Volkswagen Beetle (58,000 miles)	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(2)	2,411.00	6,655.00
Utility trailer	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	500.00	500.00
Boats, Motors and Accessories Sea Ray boat, motor and trailer	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	3,500.00	4,500.00
Kayak and row boat	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	250.00	250.00
Other Personal Property of Any Kind Not Already   Guitar	<u>listed</u> 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	350.00	350.00
Piano	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	500.00	500.00
Hand tools	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	300.00	300.00
Riding lawn mower	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	150.00	150.00
Laptop computer	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	150.00	150.00
Kiteboard	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	525.00	525.00
Holiday decorations	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	200.00	200.00
2008 federal and state tax refunds	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	1,600.00	Unknown
Wages earned but unpaid as of filing date, net-estimated	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	3,000.00	3,000.00
Wages earned but unpaid as of filing date, netestimated	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	3,200.00	3,200.00
Snowblower	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	75.00	75.00

Total:	39,267.00	441,200.00

Judie Ivancovich

**SHADDIX & ASSOCIATES (952)888-7687** 

January 26, 2011

Judi	e Ivancovich Conde	DS(	elt'	" Jan	wary 26, 2011
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA	1		INDEX	Page :
2	***************************************	2	WIT	TESS TESS	PAGE
3 Ir	re:	3	מער	E IVANCOVICH	
4	OCT L. Swanson & Michelle Klein-Swanson, BKY No. 09-40290	4		Examination by Hr. Swanson	4
5	Debtors.	5	IVA	COVICH DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	KARKED
6	***************************************	6	1	- Team Based Decision Making Worksheet on	10
7		7	_	Compensation	••
8	DEPOSITION and EXHIBITS of JUDIE IVANCOVICH,	8	2	- 2008 Excellence Awards	14
	ken via telephone in the above-styled cause pursuant to Notice	10			
	Suite 132, 12400 Portland Avenue South, City of Burnsville, unty of Dakota, State of Minnesota, before Colleen M. Sichko,	11			
	gistered Professional Reporter and notary public, on the 26th	12			
	y of January, 2011, commencing at approximately 4:00 p.m.	13			
.5 u= 14	• • • •	14			
15		15			
16		16			
17		17			
10		18			
19		19			
20		20			
21		21			
22		22			
23		23			
24		24			
25		25			
					<b>n</b>
	Page 2				Page 4
1	Apprarances:	1		JUDIE IVANCOVICH,	
2	MATTHEW D. SWAMSON, Attorney at Law, FULLER,	2		having been first duly sworn, was	
3	SEAVER & RAWETTE, Suite 132, 12400 Portland Avenue South,	3		examined and testified on her oath as	onows:
4	Burnsville, Minnesota 55337, appeared as counsel for and	4		EXAMINATION	
5	on behalf of the trustee.	5		EXAMINATION	
6	STACEY BLAUSTEIN, Attorney at Law, IBM			MR. SWANSON:	noma agair
7	Corporation, 1 Borth Castle Drive, 1A-73 MD MC-107,	l	_	All right. Ms. Ivancovich, can you state for the record?	; your name again
8	Armonk, New York 10501, appeared via telephone as counsel	8		Judie Ivancovich.	
9	for and on behalf of the deponent.	1	) A ) O	And where are you currently employed?	<b>)</b>
10			A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11		1		And what is your position at IBM?	
12		l	_	I'm a CUE, client unit executive.	
13		1	O	And how long have you held that positi	on?
14		1	_	This current position, probably ten, twe	
15		1	, A		
16		1	A	•	
17 18			3 0	Just before we begin, have you ever bee	n deposed before
19		1	) A		•
20		20		Okay. You do realize that you are testi	fying under oath,
21		21	•	correct?	- <del>-</del>
22		1	2 A	Yes.	
23	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were	23		And because we are over the telephone,	if you can just
	duly had, and entered of record, to-wit:)	24	į	remember to make all of your answers a	udible, that woul
24				be great. Also, if you could just try to	

Judie Ivancovich

Document Page 17 of 33

January 26, 2011

Page 5 1 BY MR. SWANSON: 1 a question or complete my question before you answer it, 2 Q Okay. When you're saying that it's base plus commission 2 that would also be helpful. Is that okay? 3 or the salary plus a bonus, the bonuses, what are the --3 A Sure. 4 A The appropriate - just so we're clear, the appropriate 4 Q Okay. Are you personally familiar with Mrs. Michelle 5 terminology to use is "base plus commissions." That would Klein-Swanson? 6 be an appropriate terminology. 6 A She works for me, so the answer is yes. 7 Q Okay. So what are the available commissions? 7 Q So you know who she is, right? 8 A It is based on - the commissions are based on a GDP 8 A Yes. 9 payment, and the GDP is based quarterly, as well as 9 O And how do you know her? 10 annually. 10 A She's an employee of mine. 11 MS. BLAUSTEIN: And, Judie, I'm just going to 11 Q And can you describe what you mean by "employee"? 12 A She's on a team that I manage. 12 instruct you, because Matthew Swanson is not familiar with 13 Q Okay. And what position does she have? What is her role? all the IBM acronyms, if you could just explain what they 13 14 are when you mention GDP. 14 A She's a sales leader. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. "GDP" is a gross driven 15 Q And how long has she worked for you? 16 profit plan and, basically, what it is is that it is based 16 A She's worked for me since October 1st of 2007. on -- for the yearly funding, it is based on an employee's 17 17 Q Has she held the same position underneath you since that 18 PBC rating, and the PBC rating is personal business 18 date? 19 commitment rating. So it's an overall rating that is an 19 A Yes. 20 annual rating. 20 Q Are you familiar with Ms. Klein-Swanson's compensation The GDP is based on an employee's PBC rating 21 package? and the funding; and the funding is based on year over 22 22 A Yes. 23 year growth and IBM's companywide profit on pretax income 23 O And how is that structured? combined with actual year over year revenue growth; and 24 24 A Well, it depends on which year you want to talk about. then, basically, what happens is, depending upon that 25 Q How about starting right now, how about right now and then Page 8 Page 6 funding, that money, that funding then comes to each team, we can move backwards. 1 which then I get a "bucket of money" that is then - I am 2 A Okay. We're on a salary plus bonus compensation plan. 2 3 Q And was that the same structure for 2010? 3 given a certain, you know, dollar amount and then, once I'm given that dollar amount, based on the funding that I 4 4 A Yes. just defined, once I have that dollar amount, then 5 Q How about 2009? 5 depending upon certain criteria, Michelle will get her GDP 6 A Each year is the same, it's base plus commission, but how funding, as well as my other employees. the commission is structured and how bonuses that we are eligible for can change depending upon the year. So since 8 BY MR. SWANSON: 8 Okay. And did you say that there's a yearly one and then she's been employed or since she's worked for me, she has 9 Q been on a base plus commission plan. 10 a quarterly one? 10 11 Q Okay. So it would be inaccurate to say that she's 11 A Correct. 12 O Okay. And does the quarterly one - is that the straight salary? 13 excellence award? 13 A That's correct. Yeah. That's much more -- correct, that's much more of an 14 Q Do you have in front of you the documents that I sent to 14 A excellence award that - well, you have it in your 15 you? exhibits, but, basically, the excellence awards are -- can 16 16 A I do. be -- so, here's some examples of contributions. They And are they marked? Are they the numbered copies? 17 18 A Yes, but I need to pull them up. Yes, I have them. Just would be significant assistance in a sale, sustained high 18 client satisfaction, achieving of a key critical 19 19 give me one second and I will bring them up. MR. SWANSON: And Ms. Blaustein, do you have milestone, delivering process improvement. So those are 20 20 certain types of contributions that would be looked at in a copy of those, as well? 21 21 order to determine the funding that Michelle will get each 22 22 MS. BLAUSTEIN: Yes. 23 quarter and that decision is based on her performance over 23 MR. SWANSON: Okay? THE WITNESS: Okay, I have it in front of me 24 the quarter. 24 25 Q Okay. now.

Page 18 of 33 Condense It Document

January 26, 2011

Judie Ivancovich Page 11 1 A And by the way, I have one other thing that I would also this document? like to say, that it is not -- it should not be assumed Yes, it's mine. 2 that Michelle will get this quarterly. She is eligible 3 You created this document? for it and, again, this is all confidential, so 4 A I did. 4 Michelle -- you know, this is not something we talk about 5 5 O And can you explain what it is? with our employees, but there are employees that will get Well, I need to open up my own document because this is 6 6 A skipped. So they might get a bonus first quarter and very difficult to read, so give me a second. Okay, now 7 7 I'm looking at my own document that you scanned, so; 8 second quarter, they may get nothing in third quarter, and 8 9 basically, you see that there's five people that work for 9 they may get something in fourth quarter. So it is not an 10 entitlement amount of money. 10 me. Michelle is, obviously, in the middle and then there 11 Q How does the process work as far as, you know, you said 111 were five different categories to evaluate the team's 12 performance and so our STG number, which is our hardware 12 it's not a guarantee -13 number -- I mean, I'd have to go back, but we must have 13 A Mm-hmm. 14 Q - but when is that determined? 14 stunk it up big time, so that's why there's a 2 there. So we must have not done well at all for that 2008 around our 15 When the quarter closes. So let's say, for fourth quarter 15 A for 2010, so we finally have closed the quarter for 4Q, 16 hardware number, so that's why the team is rated 16 17 consistently at 2. 17 they will receive payments two months in arrears and it's 18 Then the signings number, which is a services determined based on an overall team. So we're on, you 18 know, my team, but then several other teams are associated 19 number, we must have made the numbers, so that's why 19 everybody is a 3. So those top two lines where it says with it and, as a team, we then determine who will get 20 20 skipped and who doesn't based on the criteria that was "Numbers," because Michelle and all five of them are on a 21 21 22 team quota, that's why you see everyone is rated exactly given; and that criteria might be based on sales 22 23 initiatives or revenue numbers or whatever it might be the same when it comes to those top two line items. Then 23 when it gets to GBS, which stands for Global Business 24 that has been established for that quarter. So sometimes 24 Services, which is IBM's consulting division, and STG, 25 25 the quarters will be the same and other times the Page 12 Page 10 which is our hardware division, and Solutions Teaming and 1 initiatives might be different. 1 Partnership, that would be associated with our partner 2 2 Q Okay. So you'll discuss that number or you will discuss the allocation of the bucket with the team members that 3 because we have -- that's our job, is to worry about one 3 4 are going to receive that disbursement? 5 So the three next areas are areas where 5 A No. When Michelle gets the amount, she's told an amount. Michelle was rated against her peers. Those are all there She doesn't know how that relates to anyone else on the 6 6 7 in those specific categories, but then in the subcategory team. She doesn't know -- you know, I will say, You get X 7 where it says "Scope," and then the bottom is "Alliance 8 number of dollars and this is based on your excellence in 8 9 Satisfaction" and that is an overall number that we have. this area or this area or this area and, you know, for 9 Again, we have one number, which is considered an next quarter, we're going to be focusing on A, B and C, so 10 10 alliance, and that is a satisfaction rating. So it was a 11 I want to make sure that you are, you know, focusing your 11 12 very high satisfaction rating that came from the partner efforts on those things because those are the things that 12 13 and that's why it's rated as high. we're going to be looking at as a team and that's how, you 13 14 O And what are these numbers used for? know, your performance will be viewed. 15 A They are used as a way to -- as a metric to see where she 15 Q And just to clarify, your year-end, is that December 31st? 16 is as far as performance related to the other four members 16 A Yes. 17 on the team. You can see at the bottom where it says 17 Q Okay. I'm going to have the court reporter mark for "Overall Total," she has a 3, somebody else has a 2.9, 18 identification what you have in front of you as Exhibit 18 19 somebody else has a 3.4, et cetera, et cetera. So you can 19 Number 3.

20

21

22

23

24

see this is when she was very new to the team, but you can

see where she has a 3 and so, when I look at a weighted

two -- three people ahead of her, so her compensation will

be - will show accordingly. So if everybody - that way

everybody is not weighted the same and I have a way of

average, I can see that she's - that there's - one,

pursuant to a subpoena served on IBM. Do you recognize

attached hereto.)

24 Q All right. And this is a document that I received

(Ivancovich Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked

for identification by the court reporter and

20

21

23 BY MR. SWANSON:

Case 11-04040 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11

Judie	Ivancovich Document Pa	ige 19 <b>inselt</b>	9 of 33 <b>January 26, 20</b>
	Page 13		Page
1	measuring, rating and ranking, if you will, everyone on	1 A	Yes.
2	the team. So in a nutshell, what are these numbers used	2 Q	And then moving on further down that row under the second
3	for? Rating and ranking.	3	quarter of '08 excellence awards, it has a number listed,
4 Q	Do these relate, then, to the excellence awards at all?	4	5,400. Is that the dollar amount she would received for
5 A	Yes. Then these will be determined now, this that	5	performing her work in the second quarter - I apologize,
6	we're looking at for this exhibit is an annual view.	6	it says \$6,000?
7 Q	Okay.	7 A	Right, correct; and yes, it's the \$6,000, yes.
8 A	It's a 2008 view, so this would have been used for the	8 Q	Okay. Moving on to the second page of that exhibit, under
9	overall annual GDP plus payment.	9	Michelle Klein-Swanson's row again, further on the right
10 Q	Okay. Would you also have a breakdown like this review	10	side of the page where it says -
11	for each quarter?	11 A	Hang on, I gotta rotate this so I can see it. Okay.
12 A	I know I had one for 2007. I don't know if I've kept it.	12	Well, I'm just going to look at it funny. Go ahead.
13	Some of the stuff I do in paper and some of it I would	13 Q	What was the total paid to Michelle Klein-Swanson for her
14	have to really start searching for it. Do I have it	14	services performed in '08, third quarter of 2008?
15	readily available for you? No.	15 A	That was the 5,722 number.
16 Q	Okay. And just to be clear, you said that this is an	16 Q	Did you say fifty-seven or sixty-seven twenty-two?
17	annual one, so this would be used for the onetime growth	17 A	Oh, excuse me, 6,722.
18	driven profit payment that's only made annually?	18 Q	Okay. If we can move on to the next page, referencing the
19 A	Correct. This spreadsheet was for that purpose, yes.	19	fourth quarter, what was Mrs. Klein-Swanson's excellence
20 Q	So there would be something, some sort of different	20	award for the fourth quarter of 2008?
21	analysis for the quarterly payments?	21 A	The \$8,000 amount.
22 A	The answer is yes, but it would be for this year, it	22 Q	And just to verify, was the \$8,000 excellence award
23	would have been based in these same categories.	23	compensation for services performed by Michelle
24 Q	Okay.	24	Klein-Swanson in 2008?
25	(Ivancovich Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked	25 A	She would not have received fourth quarter payment in the

Page	14
------	----

- for identification by the court reporter and attached hereto.) 2
- 3 BY MR. SWANSON:
- 4 O This is also a document I received from IBM. Do you
- recognize this document?
- Yes. It's my document.
- 7 Q So you created this document?
- I did. 8 A
- 9 O And what is this document?
- 10 A This is from 2008 and this is first quarter and second
- quarter payment for excellence awards for 2008, and there 11
- is also a rating and ranking that's right there one, 12
- two, three, four, five, six seven columns over -- wait, 13
- sorry. Yeah, seven columns over it shows a rating, 14
- ranking if you will, and then the column to the right of 15
- it shows the excellence award payment for the first 16
- 17 quarter and then, if you keep going to the right, it shows
- 18 second quarter.
- 19 Q Okay. So, specifically looking at Michelle
- Klein-Swanson's column or row rather --20
- 21 A Mm-hmm.
- 22 Q where it states, under the first column quarter one of
- 2008 excellence awards, there's a dollar amount there, 23
- 3,827. Is that the excellence award she was awarded for 24
- her work in the first quarter?

- Page 16
- fiscal year of '08, she would have received it in '09. 1
- 2 Q Yeah, but going back to my question, the \$8,000, is that
- payment compensation for services provided by Michelle
- Swanson in 2008?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. So it's not attributable to any work that she would
- have performed in 2009, correct?
- 8 A No, correct.
- 9 Q Okay. And moving on to the next page of that same
- exhibit, moving over to the what I believe we discussed 10
- was the single annual payment. What was Michelle 11
- 12 Klein-Swanson's growth driven payment, her annual payment
  - for 2008?

13

- 14 A I don't think it's there. 3Q, 4Q okay, ask me the
- 15 question one more time.
- 16 Q Just to make sure we're on the same page, it should be -
- at the top of the page it says "2007," or kind of at the 17
- 18 top of the page. The second column should be the "2008
- GDP Annual Bonus." Are you on the same page? 19
- 20 A Oh, yeah, okay. This is really not a good way to be
- 21 looking at spreadsheets.
- 22 Q Are you on the same page that I am?
- 23 A I think so, hang on. No, I don't think I'm on the PDF
- 24 file that you sent as page 12 of 22. Are you on a
- 25 different page?

Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main

Document Page 20 of 33

Judie Ivancovich CondenseIt **January 26, 2011** Page 17 Page 19 1 Q It should be page 4 of Exhibit 4. 1 bonus was compensation for services performed by Michelle 2 2 A Page 4 of Exhibit 4? Well, the problem is, when I look at Klein-Swanson in 2008? some of these pages, they are blank, so page 4 to you and 3 A Correct. page 4 to me are probably different because several of 4 Q So that \$16,072 payment is not attributable to any work these pages are completely blank. Page 11 is blank. performed in 2009? 6 Q It would be the fourth nonblank page of Exhibit 4, then. The correct. 6 A Maybe I can ask the question and maybe you know even 7 Q And the \$16,072 payment is in addition to the \$8,000 8 without referencing the document or maybe you can tell me 8 excellence award that was also from quarter - sorry, the 9 9 just by referencing your documents there. Do you know fourth quarter of 2008? 10 what Michelle Klein-Swanson's 2008 GDP annual bonus was? 10 A Ask me that question one more time. 11 A No. Okay, I'm going to try to go and try to read this one 11 Q Is this \$16,072 payment in addition to her fourth quarter 12 more time because some of these are in portrait, some of 12 excellence award? 13 13 A Yes. them are in landscape and they are very difficult to read, 14 Q And I know we have touched on this a little bit before, so you gotta be patient here. Okay, so I'm on Exhibit 4 14 but can you explain how you notify your employees of their 15 15 and you want me on the fourth page of Exhibit 4? 16 right to receive these amounts or these awards? 16 Q That's correct. 17 A We -- there is a timeframe when IBM says you can now --17 A Okay, and tell me what you see at the top. basically, what happens is IBM provides us a window that 18 18 Q It's really a partial page - not a partial page, but the 19 says from this date to this date you are to provide your 19 spreadsheet only covers part of the page and it has a 20 employees the information regarding their payments and so, 20 "2007" above the spreadsheet. when that window opens, I typically do it on the first or 21 A So it doesn't say 4Q '08 at the top? 21 22 second day, I do it on the phone, and I will say, Your 22 O I'm not seeing that. Here, hold on one second. amount is such-and-such and it's based on your performance 23 23 A Oh, wait, I think I see what you see. Hang on, I see it. 24 in these areas and it's, you know - you use it as an 24 It says "2007" on the right. 25 opportunity to have a dialog about, you know, what went 25 MS. BLAUSTEIN: Matthew, are you talking Page 20 Page 18 1 well, lessons learned for the quarter or for the year, 1 about Exhibit 47 2 et cetera, at the same time delivering the message about 2 MR. SWANSON: Yes. 3 the amount of the payment. 3 MS. BLAUSTEIN: Yeah, it's the eighth page of 4 Q And will you communicate to your employees both the 4 the --THE WITNESS: Yeah, I see it now, but I don't excellence award, the quarterly one and the annual one? 5 6 know which one is Michelle's because the far left has been 6 A cut off. I don't know what column she's in, I would have 7 Q And you stated that it's not a guaranteed payment, 7 Q correct? 8 to go back and look. I see it says "4Q YTD GDP Plus." 9 A Correct. Okay, I'm with you. 10 Q Is it typical that they will receive one? 10 BY MR. SWANSON: Quarterly or annual, which are you asking? 11 A 11 Q It's page 14 of 22, if that helps. Well, let's discuss the quarterly first. 12 Q 12 A Yeah, I'm there. 13 A Is it typical? I would say, out of the four quarters, 13 Q And I believe she, in regards to the rows, she's the third most often they will receive three out of the four. 14 14 one down. 15 O Okay. And for 2008, just to clarify, Michelle 15 A Third one down, okay. So she would have received the Klein-Swanson did receive an excellence - a quarterly 16 16 40,621. excellence award for each quarter, correct? 17 17 Q Well, that's the total of her excellence awards quarterly 18 A Correct. and annual, correct? 19 Q And in regards to the annual award, is it typical that 19 A Yes. That's a year-to-date payment, correct. 20 your employees will each receive an annual award? 20 Q Okay. But in regards to the onetime annual payment that 21 A Yes. they receive, what was Michelle Klein-Swanson's onetime 21 22 Q And in regard - when were these programs started by IBM; annual bonus in 2008 - for 2008? 23 do vou recall? 23 A Her payment for 2008? Oh, it's right here. I think it 24 A I have no idea. says 16,072.

25 O That may be -

Judie Ivancovich

January 26, 2011

udie	Ivancovich Conde	DS	elt January 26, 2011
	Page 21		Page 23
1 A		1	•••
2	may have, but let me look to see if I can even answer that	2	
3	question.	3	
4	MS. BLAUSTEIN: Matthew, specifically which	4	
5	program are you talking about? Are you talking about the	5	MR. SWANSON: Yeah, we're done.
6	payments of -	6	(Deposition concluded at 4:40 p.m.)
7 BY	MR. SWANSON:	7	
8 Q	Maybe I can clarify it or simplify it. For purposes of	8	
9	Michelle Klein-Swanson, as long as she's been working	9	
10	underneath you, have these programs been in place?	10	
II A	Yes.	11	
12 Q	The GDP programs?	12	
13 A	Yes.	13	
14 0	And when she started working for you, was she notified of	14	
15	these programs?	15	•
16 A	• •	16	
17 0		17	
17 Q 18	started working for you in October of 2007, that she was	18	
		19	
19	eligible to receive a quarterly excellence award?	20	
20 A		20 21	
_	And then, also, she was aware that she was eligible to	21 22	
22	receive an annual growth driven profit payment?	22 23	
23 A	Yes.		
24 Q	Do you know if Michelle Klein-Swanson received the annual	24	
25	growth driven profit payment or bonus payment for the year	25	
	Page 22	,	Page 2 STATE OF MINNESOTA)
1	2009?	ŀ	Ss. COUNTY OF DAKOTA
2 A	Yes.	3	
3 Q	Do you know if she is going to receive that same is she	4	Be it known that I took the deposition of IUDIE IVANCOVICH via telephone on the 26th day of January, 2011,
4	going to receive the growth driven profit payment or bonus		at Suite 132, 12400 Portland Avenue South, Burnsville, Minnesota;
5	for the year 2010?	6	That I was then and there a Notary Public in
6 A	Yes.	,	and for the County of Dakota, State of Minnesota, and that I was duly authorized to administer an oath;
7 Q	If you could hold on one second, I just want to make sure		That the witness, before testifying, was
8	I don't have any further questions before I end our call.	,	first duly swom to testify the truth and nothing but the truth;
9	Do you happen to know what Ms. Michelle	٠.	That the testimony was recorded by myself and transcribed into a computer-aided transcript and that the
10	Klein-Swanson's growth driven profit payment will be for		deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability;
11	2010?	12	That the cost of the original transcript has
12 A	No.		been charged to the party noticing the deposition, unless otherwise agreed upon by Counsel, and that copies have been made
13 Q	Is that something that's still being calculated?	1	available to all parties at the same cost, unless otherwise agreed upon by Counsel;
15 Q 14 A	Yes.	15	
15	MR. SWANSON: Okay. I have no further	16	hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action;
16	questions for you. I appreciate you taking the time out.	i	That the reading and signing of the deposition by the winces was waived; that the original
17	And, Stacey well, you have the right to	18	transcript will be retained by Mr. Swanson.
18	read and sign if you like.	١	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 2nd day of February, 2011.
19	MS. BLAUSTEIN: Okay. Can you e-mail me a	20	
20	transcript when you get it, Matt?	21	
		1-,	
21		22	COLLEEN M. SICHKO
21 22	MR. SWANSON: Yeah, but do you want to read	22	Registered Professional Reporter
22	MR. SWANSON: Yeah, but do you want to read and sign, or are you fine with the transcript as is?	23	Registered Professional Reporter
	MR. SWANSON: Yeah, but do you want to read		Registered Professional Reporter  COLLEEN IVI SICHKO

Category	Scope	Sariace	Famham
	STG Influence Revenue	2	2
(3+only if or larget in all aleas)	Signings (GBS, GTS)	3	3
			THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
ĞäŞ	Identification and Validation of Opptys	. 4	ო
11、11を受けていません。 それには、「はないできない。」では、「はないできないない。」では、「はないできないないできない。」では、「はないできないない。」では、「はないできない。」では、「はない			
any i lianger to a selection of the control of the	Install Base Upgrades	4	5
STG	Percent Blue	3	4
	ISV Growth Initiatives	4	4
	Align Regional VP and ISV Sales Executives	5	3
	Sector Leader Alignment	<b>,</b>	٦
Solutions Teaming and Partnership	Regional Joint Cadence with ISV	2	4
	General Business	വ	4
の対象を持ち、大きなないのでは、大きなないできない。これでは、大きなないのできない。			
Alliance Salisfaction	Satisfaction	5	5
	Overall Total	3.727272727	3.454545455
	NOMEDVICH	5-	·
	11711 1171	And the Control of th	

Team Based Decision Making Worksheet on Compensation IBM Confidential

Assessment Criteria: Ratings 1 to 5; with 5 being the highest

PPHER	2	3	· 6	N/A	4	3	3	-	. 5	5	5	3.4	
Blain	2	3	8	2	3	. 2	. 8	1	. 5	3	2	2.909090909	
Heinsweigh	2	က	2	4	4	. 2	2	1	5	ဧ	. 2	e.	

Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 33

### IBM CONFIDENTIAL

l I	Q108 Excellence Awards	1Q08 % Dept	1Q08 Award Bank	1Q08 Salary	1Q08 Award as % Salary	1008 Salary Rank	2008 Excellence Avard @ 85%	2908 Award	2Q08 % Dept	CARTE TELEVISION OF THE	Monthly Salary as of June 1	2008 Salary	.2Q08 Award as % 2Q Salary	2008 Salary Bank
Bjorn		15%	3	37,170	10%	3	4,800	5,400	28%	2	12,638	37,418	14%	3
Farnham	3,976	16%	4	27,736	14%	5	2,500	2,700	20%	5	9,485	27,976	10%	5
elle Klein-Swanson	3,827	16%	4	38,267	10%	2	5,400	6,000	32%	1	12,756	38,267	16%	2
Surace	8,823	36%	1	40,534	22%	1	3,700	4,300	25%	3	13,835	40,858	11%	1
											13,975			
Typher	4,263	17%	2	29,743	14%	4	3,276	3,600	26%	4	10,360	30,189	12%	4
Total Ivancovich	24,605	100%		173,450	14%		19,676	22,000	100%	N/A	59,075	174,708	13%	

DATE 1/210 11 COLLEEN M. SICHKO COURT REPORTER

EXHIBIT 4

lvancovich Team	1H payment	1H08 % Dept			1H08 Award as % Salary	Salary Hank		Ivancovich Team	3Q Salaries	3Q08 Initial Payment updated with Final Payment	Actual % of payment versus allocated funding	3008.% Dept	3Q08 Award Bank	SQ08 Salary
Bjorn	9,117	20%	3	74,588	12%	3		Bjorn	37,914	6,578	34%	31%	2	37,914
Farnham	6,676	14%	5	55,712	12%	5	医线	Farnham	28,456	2,238	12%	11%	4	28,456
Michelle Klein-Swanson	9,827	21%	2	76,533	13%	2		Michelle Klein Swanson	38,267	6,722	35%	32%	1	38,267
Paul	100				100000000000000000000000000000000000000				41,925	2,516	13%	12%	5	41,925
Ray	7.000	1701	<del>                                     </del>	=0.000	1001		100							
Typher	7,863	17%	4	59,932	13%	4	200	Typher	31,081	3,108	16%	15%	3	31,081
Total Ivancovich	46,605	100%		348,157	13%		1845	Total Ivancovich	177,643	21,162	109%	100%		177,643

		•						
2008	Ivancovich Team	Bjorn	Farnham	Michelle Klein-Sw.	(4) (1) (4)	Ray	Typher	Total Ivancovich
	4008 4008 4008 95,640 95,640 95,890 Fank	3	4	, ત		-		
	4008 Award as % 40 Salary	15%	11%	21%		8%		14%
	4008 4008 4008 4008 Salary Salary	37,914	28,456	38,267		41,925		146,562
	4008 4008 Award as Ayard							
	4008. Award as	28%	16%	40%		16%		100%
**	Actual % of payment versus allocated funding	32%	18%	46%		18%		114%
	mitigli Mili- Mili- aled B)	5,500	3,157	8,000		3,200		19,857
	4948- Regime Which 40 with th Monthly Final Salary Bayme	12,638	9,485	12,756		13,975		48,854
	40 Salary	37,914	28,456	38,267		41,925		146,562
4008	Ivancovich Team	Bjom	Farnham	Michelle Klein- Swanson	Surace	Ray	Typher	Total Ivancovich
	3008 Salary Bank	の	S)	C)		aniti	4	
	3008 3008 Avard 35.% 30 Solary	17%	8%	18%		%9	10%	12%

Do Not Exceed: Total To Go

\$17,357 \$19,857 -\$2,500

							_	
Diff	Delta 2008 from 2007	6,866	(1,222)	40,621	(31,061)	10,747	(12,203)	13,747
2007	2007 Award Delta 2008 Payment from 2007	27,978	20,236	0	31,061		23,174	102,449
	FY08 Awerd							
ij	EY08 % Dent				b			%0
	40 Vio	34,844	19,014	40,621		10,747	10,971	116,196
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	2008 GDP Annual Bonus	13.649	6,949	16,072		5 031		41,695
me le sente de la sum	39 YTP = will update to 49 VITP Payment	21,195	12,071	24,549		5,716	10,971	74,501

1Q09					man a summer of a respective parties (1925)	entransia y ministra y ministrant (n. 1871).	2Q09	POTONSKI POTONSKI POTONSKI	The same of the sa
(yancovich Team	1Q Salary	1009 Initial Payment updated with the Final Payment		1009 Award	1009 Award as % 10 Salary	1009 Salary Rank	Ivancovich Team	2Q Salary	2009 Initial Payment which will be updated with the Final Payment
	37,914	3152.56	53%	1	8%	2	Bjorn,Elizab	37,914	2025.00
Bjorn,	29,450	- Company of the Comp	47%	2	10%	3	Grill, Mary	29,450	1000.00: -
Grill,		Committee of the Commit	0%	3 .	0%	1	Klein-Swans	38,267	3827.60
Klein-Swanson, Michelle	38,267	0.00	0.8		Mark Barrier	Gray 1 State of	Total		The same of the same
Total lyaneavich	105,630	5952.56	100%		6%		Ivancovich	105,630	6852.60

3Q09	l .	3Q09 Initial Payment which will be updated with the Final	3Q09 Award as	3Q09 Award	3Q09 Award as %3Q	3Q09 Salary	1H Award	Award Amt	是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	
Ivancovich Team	3Q Salary	Payment	% Dept	Rank	Salary	Rank	amt	YTD	Salary	3Q YTD Salary -
Bjom,	38,484	1928.00	30%	2	- 5%	2	5,178	\$7,106	6.2%	\$114,312
Grill	29,450	1200.00	19%	3	4%	3	3,800	\$5,000	5.7%	\$88,349
Klein-Swanson, Michelle		3236,00	51%	1	8%	1	3,828	\$7,064	6.1%	\$115,411
Total Ivancovich	106,812	6364.00	100%	441 17 12 1	6%		12,805	\$19,169	6.0%	\$318,072

2009 Salary Rank	2	3	-	
2009 Award as %, 20 Salary	5%	.%8	10%	%9
2009 Award Rank	*			
2009 Award 2009 Award as % Dept Rank	30%	15%	26%	100%

# RANDALL L. SEAVER UNITED STATES CHAPTER 7 PANEL TRUSTEE

PORTLAND CORPORATE CENTER
12400 PORTLAND AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 132
BURNSVILLE, MN 55337

Telephone (952) 890-0888 Facsimile (952) 890-0244

March 10, 2009

Joseph L. Kelly Kelly Law Offices 12400 Portland Avenue South, Suite 180 Burnsville, MN 55337

RE: Scott Lawrence Swanson and Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson

BKY No. 09-40290

Dear Joe:

In reviewing the Debtors' financial records, I note that Ms. Klein-Swanson received an \$8,000 "Excellence Award" on 2/18/09. Please have her provide me with a written explanation of the basis for that award, and the time period for which it covers. Also, please provide a copy of the company policy that resulted in that award, together with the letter or other documents notifying her of the award and the period of time covered by the award.

Very truly yours,

Randall L. Seaver

Trustee

rlseaver@fullerseaverramette.com

RLS:klf



Case 11-04040 Doc 1 Filed 02/18/11 Entered 02/18/11 09:42:20 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 33

# RANDALL L. SEAVER UNITED STATES CHAPTER 7 PANEL TRUSTEE

PORTLAND CORPORATE CENTER 12400 PORTLAND AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 132 BURNSVILLE, MN 55337 Telephone (952) 890-0888 Facsimile (952) 890-0244

March 10, 2009

THIS IS A FOLLOW-UP

Joseph L. Kelly Kelly Law Offices 12400 Portland Avenue South, Suite 180 Burnsville, MN 55337 ACCORDING TO CUM FILES, WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED A REPLY TO THIS LETTER, YOUR FASLY ATTENTION TO THIS MATTER WILL BE APPRECIATED

RE:

Scott Lawrence Swanson and Michelle Ann Klein-Swanson

BKY No. 09-40290

Dear Joe:

In reviewing the Debtors' financial records, I note that Ms. Klein-Swanson received an \$8,000 "Excellence Award" on 2/18/09. Please have her provide me with a written explanation of the basis for that award, and the time period for which it covers. Also, please provide a copy of the company policy that resulted in that award, together with the letter or other documents notifying her of the award and the period of time covered by the award.

Very truly yours,

Randall L. Seaver

Trustee

rlseaver@fullerseaverramette.com

RLS:klf

C:\Data\candy\Trusico-Mpis\Swanson\tr to Kelly re award.upo

May 25, 2009

Mr. Randall Seaver Trustee Portland Corporate Center 12400 Portland Avenue South Suite 132 Burnsville, Mn 55337

RE: Scott Lawrence Swanson and Michelle Ann Klein Swanson BKY No. 09-40290 -Excellence Award explanation.

Dear Mr. Seaver

Attorney Joe Kelly has asked me to provide a response to your letter dated March 10, 2009 which requests a written explanation of the Excellence Award that I received on 2/18/09 in the amount of \$8000.00. Let me begin by explaining that my position at IBM as the Oracle Alliance Client Executive is NOT a commissionable/incentive based position I am 100% salary based and I am not customer facing. My role is to develop and manage the Alliance/Partnership between IBM and Oracle Corporation.

In early February 2009 I successfully coordinated a team of technologist and consultants to develop a migration plan/methodology for JDE legacy clients. This solution will be rolled out to the IBM sales teams in early July 2009. The Excellence Award was announced to me on Monday - 02/16/09 on my America's Alliance cadence call with my Manager. I was not provided anything in writing regarding this award other than the line item on my payroll stub which you have seen.

I did contact the IBM Employee Services Department on Wednesday May 20, 2009 and discussed your request with the HR Representative. He provided me the following information, but also cautioned me that I am not to release any IBM Personal/Business Incentive information to a third party as it may violate the IBM Business Conduct Guidelines (BCG). I believe the information that I am providing below should suffice and does not violate IBMs BCG. If necessary I can provide you with contact information for IBM Legal where you may be able to get more information.

## What is the eligibility criteria for Excellence Awards?

## Employees must meet all of the following to be eligible for Excellence Awards:

- In a band 6-10 role as a full-or part-time regular
- Not eligible for sales or services incentives plan
- Not a supplemental, unless country labor law guidelines permit



- Not on an unpaid LOA (employees on paid LOAs are eligible even during the
- An active employee

months they are inactive)

Managers will base their award decisions on the value and impact of the employee's contributions using the following awards payment guidelines:

-Unlimited number of awards can be given annually as long as they do not exceed the Excellence Awards budget or the maximum number of awards allowed in each country -Each award can range up to 20% of an employee's full-year salary. Rules vary by country

#### Examples of award-winning contributions include:

- -Completed internal project on time/under budget, with high quality
- -Achieved key critical milestone
- -Developed intellectual capital and/or contributed to re-use of existing assets
- -Demonstrated exemplary teaming with peers within business unit, across units or across IBM
- -Delivered significant process improvement or cost take out

I hope this is the information and explanation is what you are looking for. If not, please let Attorney Joe Kelly know and I will provide contact information for the IBM Legal Department.

Thank you,

Respectfully,

Michelle Klein-Swanson 16900 Weaver Lake Drive

Maple Grove, MN 55311

CC: Joe Kelly - Esquire

IBM Employee Service Center Representative - Bryan